- 2. The title of the information collection: NRC Form 212, Qualifications Investigation, and NRC Form 212A, Qualifications Investigation Secretarial/Clerical.
- 3. The form number if applicable: NRC Form 212, NRC Form 212A.
- 4. How often the collection is required: Whenever Human Resources' specialists determine qualification investigations are required in conjunction with applications for employment related to vacancies.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Supervisors, former supervisors, and/or other references of external

applicants.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: NRC Form 212, 1400 annually, NRC Form 212A, 300 annually.

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: NRC Form 212, 1400 annually, NRC Form 212A, 300 annually.

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request. NRC Form 212, 350 hours (15 minutes per response), NRC Form 212A, 75 hours (15 minutes per response).

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not

applicable.

10. Abstract: Information requested on NRC Forms 212 and 212A is used to determine the qualifications and suitability of external applicants for employment in professional and secretarial or clerical positions with the NRC. The completed form may be used to examine, rate and/or assess the prospective employee's qualifications. The information regarding the qualifications of applicants for employment is reviewed by professional personnel of the Office of Human Resources, in conjunction with other information in the NRC files, to determine the qualifications of the applicant for appointment to the position under consideration.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov) under the FedWorld collection link on the home page tool bar. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by July 17, 1998.

Erik Godwin, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0033 and 3150–0034), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of June 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–16020 Filed 6–16–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-295/304-LA, ASLBP No. 98-744-04-LA]

### Commonwealth Edison Company; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 F.R. 28710 (1972), and Sections 2.105, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717, 2.721 of the Commission's Regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding.

#### **Commonwealth Edison Company**

Zion Nuclear Power Station

This Board is being established pursuant to a petition to intervene submitted by Edwin D. Dienethal. The petition was filed in response to a notice of a proposed determination that the issuance of a license amendment to the Commonwealth Edison Company for the Zion Nuclear Power Station would involve no significant hazards considerations. The license amendment would make several technical specification changes, reinstate license conditions that were deleted by a previous amendment and modify staffing requirements and management titles to reflect a shutdown status. The notice was published in the **Federal** Register at 63 FR 25101, 25105 (May 6, 1998)

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Dr. Jerry R. Kline, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Frederick J. Shon, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

All correspondence, documents and other materials shall be filed with the Judges in accordance with 10 C.F.R. 2.701.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of June 1998.

#### B. Paul Cotter, Jr.,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 98–16124 Filed 6–16–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-269 and 50-287]

Duke Energy Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity For a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–38 and DPR–55, issued to the Duke Energy Corporation (the licensee), for operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 3, respectively, located in Seneca, South Carolina.

If approved, the proposed amendments would amend the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 3 Technical Specifications (TS) to allow continued operation with certain steam generator tubes that exceed their repair limit as a result of tube end anomalies (TEAs). These tubes would be temporarily exempt from the requirement for sleeving, rerolling, or removal from service until repaired during the next scheduled refueling outages for the respective unit or plant conditions that result in an extended cold shutdown of greater than 7 days.

Oconee TS Section 4.17.2, Steam Generator Tubing Surveillance Acceptance Criteria, requires that the steam generators be operable and all tubes that are examined and found to exceed their repair criteria be repaired by sleeving or rerolling, or removed from service. During the recent Unit 2 refueling outage, several indications of TEAs were found and repaired. As a result, a detailed reanalysis of the Unit 1 and 3 steam generator tube surveillance data that was obtained during the previous refueling outages for each unit was conducted. This reanalysis determined that 372